Photonic Crystals:

A Crash Course in Designer Electromagnetism

Steven G. Johnson

MIT Applied Mathematics

Free Materials Online



Photonic Crystals book: jdj.mit.edu/book

Tutorial slides: jdj.mit.edu/photons/tutorial

Free electromagnetic simulation software
(FDTD, mode solver, etc.)
jdj.mit.edu/wiki

Outline

- Preliminaries: waves in periodic media
- Photonic crystals in theory and practice
- Bulk crystal properties
- Intentional defects and devices
- Index-guiding and incomplete gaps
- Photonic-crystal fibers
- Perturbations, tuning, and disorder

Outline

- Preliminaries: waves in periodic media
- Photonic crystals in theory and practice
- Bulk crystal properties
- Intentional defects and devices
- Index-guiding and incomplete gaps
- Photonic-crystal fibers
- Perturbations, tuning, and disorder

To Begin: A Cartoon in 2d



planewave

$$\vec{E},\vec{H} \sim e^{i(\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}-\omega t)}$$

$$\left|\vec{k}\right| = \omega / c = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda}$$





…Waves Can Scatter

small particles:
Lord Rayleigh (1871)
why the sky is blue

here: a little circular speck of silicon



checkerboard pattern: interference of waves traveling in different directions



scattering by spheres:
solved by Gustave Mie (1908)

Multiple Scattering is Just Messier?

here: scattering off three specks of silicon



can be solved on a computer, but not terribly interesting…

To Begin: A Cartoon in 2d



planewave

$$\vec{E}, \vec{H} \sim e^{i(\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}-\omega t)}$$

$$\left|\vec{k}\right| = \omega / c = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda}$$

for most λ, beam(s) propagate through crystal without scattering (scattering cancels coherently)

...but for some λ (~ 2*a*), no light can propagate: a photonic band gap

An even bigger mess?
zillons of scatterers



Blech, light will just scatter like crazy
and go all over the place … how boring!

Not so messy, not so boring…



the light seems to form several *coherent beams*
that propagate *without scattering*
… and almost *without diffraction* (*supercollimation*)

…the magic of symmetry…

[Emmy Noether, 1915]

Noether's theorem:
symmetry = conservation laws

In this case, periodicity
= conserved "momentum"
= wave solutions without scattering
[Bloch waves]

Felix Bloch
(1928)

A slight change? Shrink l by 20%

an "optical insulator" (photonic bandgap)



light cannot penetrate the structure at this wavelength!

all of the scattering destructively interferes

Photonic Crystals

periodic electromagnetic media



(need a more complex topology)

with photonic band gaps: “optical insulators”

Photonic Crystals in Nature

Morpho rhetenor butterfly

wing scale:



[P. Vukosic *et al*., *Proc. Roy. Soc: Bio. Sci*. **266**, 1403 (1999)]

[also: B. Gralak *et al*., *Opt. Express* **9**, 567 (2001)]

Peacock feather





[J. Zi *et al*, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA*, **100**, 12576 (2003)]

[figs: Blau, *Physics Today* **57**, 18 (2004)]

Photonic Crystals

periodic electromagnetic media



can trap light in cavities



and waveguides (“wires”)

with photonic band gaps:
“optical insulators”
for holding and controlling light

Photonic Crystals

periodic electromagnetic media



But how can we understand such complex systems?
Add up the infinite sum of scattering? Ugh!

A mystery from the 19th century

conductive material



current: $\vec{J} = \sigma \vec{E}$

conductivity (measured)

↓

mean free path (distance) of electrons

A mystery from the 19th century



current: $\vec{J} = \sigma \vec{E}$

conductivity (measured)

↓

mean free path (distance) of electrons

A mystery solved…

1. electrons are waves (quantum mechanics)

2. waves in a periodic medium can propagate without scattering:

Bloch's Theorem (1d: Floquet's)

The foundations do not depend on the specific wave equation.

Electronic and Photonic Crystals

Periodic Medium

atoms in diamond structure



dielectric spheres, diamond lattice



Bloch waves: **Band Diagram**





strongly interacting fermions

weakly-interacting bosons

Time to Analyze the Cartoon



planewave

$$\vec{E},\vec{H} \sim e^{i(\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}-\omega t)}$$

$$\left|\vec{k}\right| = \omega / c = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda}$$



for most λ, beam(s) propagate through crystal without scattering (scattering cancels coherently)

...but for some λ (~ 2*a*), no light can propagate: a photonic band gap

Fun with Math

$$\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E} = -\frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\vec{H} = i\frac{\omega}{c}\vec{H}$$

First task:
get rid of this mess

$$\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{H} = \varepsilon\frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\vec{E} + \vec{J}^{\,0} = -i\frac{\omega}{c}\varepsilon\vec{E}$$

dielectric function $\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}) = n^2(\mathbf{x})$

$$\nabla \times \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\nabla \times \vec{H} = \left(\frac{\omega}{c}\right)^2 \vec{H}$$

+ constraint $\nabla \cdot \vec{H} = 0$

eigen-operator — eigen-value — eigen-state

Hermitian Eigenproblems

$$\nabla \times \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \nabla \times \vec{H} = \left(\frac{\omega}{c}\right)^2 \vec{H}$$

+ constraint

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{H} = 0$$

eigen-operator

eigen-value

eigen-state

Hermitian for real (lossless) ε

→ well-known properties from linear algebra:

ω are real (lossless)

eigen-states are orthogonal

eigen-states are complete (give all solutions)*

* Technically, completeness requires slightly more than just Hermitian-ness.

Periodic Hermitian Eigenproblems

[G. Floquet, "Sur les équations différentielles linéaries à coefficients périodiques," *Ann. École Norm. Sup.* **12**, 47–88 (1883).]
[F. Bloch, "Über die quantenmechanik der electronen in kristallgittern," *Z. Physik* **52**, 555–600 (1928).]

if eigen-operator is periodic, then Bloch-Floquet theorem applies:

can choose: $$\vec{H}(\vec{x},t) = e^{i\left(\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}-\omega t\right)}\vec{H}_{\vec{k}}(\vec{x})$$

planewave

periodic "envelope"

Corollary 1: **k** is conserved, *i.e.* no scattering of Bloch wave

Corollary 2: $\vec{H}_{\vec{k}}$ given by finite unit cell,
so ω are discrete $\omega_n(\mathbf{k})$



Periodic Hermitian Eigenproblems

Corollary 2: $\vec{H}_{\vec{k}}$ given by finite unit cell,
so ω are discrete $\omega_n(\mathbf{k})$



band diagram (dispersion relation)



map of
what states
exist &
can interact

range of *k*?

Periodic Hermitian Eigenproblems in 1d

$$H(x) = e^{ikx} H_k(x)$$



a

$$\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon(x+a)$$

Consider $k+2\pi/a$: $$e^{i(k+\frac{2\pi}{a})x} H_{k+\frac{2\pi}{a}}(x) = e^{ikx}\left[e^{i\frac{2\pi}{a}x} H_{k+\frac{2\pi}{a}}(x) \right]$$

periodic!
satisfies same equation as H_k
$= H_k$

k is periodic:
$k + 2\pi/a$ equivalent to k
"quasi-phase-matching"

Periodic Hermitian Eigenproblems in 1d

k is periodic:

$k + 2\pi/a$ equivalent to k

"quasi-phase-matching"



$\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon(x+a)$



Any 1d Periodic System has a Gap

[Lord Rayleigh, "On the maintenance of vibrations by forces of double frequency, and on the propagation of waves through a medium endowed with a periodic structure," *Philosophical Magazine* **24**, 145–159 (1887).]

Start with
a uniform (1d) medium:





Any 1d Periodic System has a Gap

[Lord Rayleigh, "On the maintenance of vibrations by forces of double frequency, and on the propagation of waves through a medium endowed with a periodic structure," *Philosophical Magazine* **24**, 145–159 (1887).]

Treat it as "artificially" periodic



bands are "folded" by $2\pi/a$ equivalence



Any 1d Periodic System has a Gap

[Lord Rayleigh, "On the maintenance of vibrations by forces of double frequency, and on the propagation of waves through a medium endowed with a periodic structure," *Philosophical Magazine* **24**, 145–159 (1887).]

Treat it as
"artificially" periodic



Any 1d Periodic System has a Gap

[Lord Rayleigh, "On the maintenance of vibrations by forces of double frequency, and on the propagation of waves through a medium endowed with a periodic structure," *Philosophical Magazine* **24**, 145–159 (1887).]

Add a small "real" periodicity

$\varepsilon_2 = \varepsilon_1 + \Delta\varepsilon$



Any 1d Periodic System has a Gap

[Lord Rayleigh, "On the maintenance of vibrations by forces of double frequency, and on the propagation of waves through a medium endowed with a periodic structure," *Philosophical Magazine* **24**, 145–159 (1887).]

Add a small "real" periodicity

$\varepsilon_2 = \varepsilon_1 + \Delta\varepsilon$

Splitting of degeneracy:

state concentrated in higher index (ε_2) has lower frequency





Some 2d and 3d systems have gaps

- In general, eigen-frequencies satisfy Variational Theorem:

$$\omega_1(\vec{k})^2 = \min_{\substack{\vec{E}_1 \\ \nabla\cdot\varepsilon\vec{E}_1=0}} \frac{\int \left|\left(\nabla + i\vec{k}\right)\times \vec{E}_1\right|^2}{\int \varepsilon\left|\vec{E}_1\right|^2} c^2$$

"kinetic"

inverse "potential"

$$\omega_2(\vec{k})^2 = \min_{\substack{\vec{E}_2 \\ \nabla\cdot\varepsilon\vec{E}_2=0 \\ \int \varepsilon E_1^* \cdot E_2 = 0}} \text{"}\cdots\text{"}$$

bands "want" to be in high-ε

…but are forced out by orthogonality

➔ band gap (maybe)

A 2d Model System



Square lattice of dielectric rods ($\varepsilon = 12 \sim$ Si) in air ($\varepsilon = 1$)

Solving the Maxwell Eigenproblem

Finite cell ➔ *discrete* eigenvalues ω_n

$$(\nabla + i\mathbf{k}) \times \frac{1}{\varepsilon}(\nabla + i\mathbf{k}) \times \mathbf{H}_n = \frac{\omega_n^{\,2}}{c^2}\mathbf{H}_n$$

constraint: $(\nabla + i\mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{H} = 0$

Want to solve for $\omega_n(\mathbf{k})$,
& plot vs. "all" **k** for "all" n,



where magnetic field = $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x})\, e^{i(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x} - \omega t)}$

1. Limit range of **k**: irreducible Brillouin zone
2. Limit degrees of freedom: expand **H** in finite basis
3. Efficiently solve eigenproblem: iterative methods

Solving the Maxwell Eigenproblem: 1

1. Limit range of **k**: irreducible Brillouin zone



—Bloch's theorem: solutions are periodic in **k**

first Brillouin zone
= minimum |**k**| "primitive cell"



irreducible Brillouin zone: reduced by symmetry

2. Limit degrees of freedom: expand **H** in finite basis

3. Efficiently solve eigenproblem: iterative methods

Solving the Maxwell Eigenproblem: 2a

1. Limit range of **k**: irreducible Brillouin zone

2. Limit degrees of freedom: expand **H** in finite basis (N)

$$|\mathbf{H}\rangle = \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}_t) = \sum_{m=1}^{N} h_m \mathbf{b}_m(\mathbf{x}_t)$$

solve: $\hat{A}|\mathbf{H}\rangle = \omega^2|\mathbf{H}\rangle$

finite matrix problem: $Ah = \omega^2 Bh$

$$\langle \mathbf{f}|\mathbf{g}\rangle = \int \mathbf{f}^* \cdot \mathbf{g} \qquad A_{ml} = \langle \mathbf{b}_m|\hat{A}|\mathbf{b}_l\rangle \qquad B_{ml} = \langle \mathbf{b}_m|\mathbf{b}_l\rangle$$

3. Efficiently solve eigenproblem: iterative methods

Solving the Maxwell Eigenproblem: 2b

1. Limit range of **k**: irreducible Brillouin zone

2. Limit degrees of freedom: expand **H** in finite basis

   — must satisfy constraint: $(\nabla + i\mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{H} = 0$

Planewave (FFT) basis

$$\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}_t) = \sum_{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{G}} e^{i\mathbf{G}\cdot\mathbf{x}_t}$$

constraint: $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{G}} \cdot (\mathbf{G} + \mathbf{k}) = 0$

uniform "grid," periodic boundaries,
simple code, $O(N \log N)$

Finite-element basis



[figure: Peyrilloux *et al.*, *J. Lightwave Tech.* **21**, 536 (2003)]

constraint, boundary conditions:

Nédélec elements

[Nédélec, *Numerische Math.* **35**, 315 (1980)]

nonuniform mesh,
more arbitrary boundaries,
complex code & mesh, $O(N)$

3. Efficiently solve eigenproblem: iterative methods

Solving the Maxwell Eigenproblem: 3a

1. Limit range of **k**: irreducible Brillouin zone

2. Limit degrees of freedom: expand **H** in finite basis

3. Efficiently solve eigenproblem: iterative methods

$$Ah = \omega^2 Bh$$

Slow way: compute A & B, ask LAPACK for eigenvalues
— requires $O(N^2)$ storage, $O(N^3)$ time

Faster way:
— start with *initial guess* eigenvector h_0
— *iteratively* improve
— $O(Np)$ storage, ~ $O(Np^2)$ time for p eigenvectors
(p smallest eigenvalues)

Solving the Maxwell Eigenproblem: 3b

1. Limit range of **k**: irreducible Brillouin zone
2. Limit degrees of freedom: expand **H** in finite basis
3. Efficiently solve eigenproblem: iterative methods

$$Ah = \omega^2 Bh$$

Many iterative methods:

— Arnoldi, Lanczos, Davidson, Jacobi-Davidson, …, Rayleigh-quotient minimization

Solving the Maxwell Eigenproblem: 3c

1. Limit range of **k**: irreducible Brillouin zone
2. Limit degrees of freedom: expand **H** in finite basis
3. Efficiently solve eigenproblem: iterative methods

$$Ah = \omega^2 Bh$$

Many iterative methods:

— Arnoldi, Lanczos, Davidson, Jacobi-Davidson, …, Rayleigh-quotient minimization

for Hermitian matrices, smallest eigenvalue ω_0 minimizes:

"variational theorem"

$$\omega_0^2 = \min_h \frac{h' Ah}{h' Bh}$$

minimize by preconditioned conjugate-gradient (or…)

Outline

- Preliminaries: waves in periodic media
- Photonic crystals in theory and practice
- Bulk crystal properties
- Intentional defects and devices
- Index-guiding and incomplete gaps
- Photonic-crystal fibers
- Perturbations, tuning, and disorder

2d periodicity, ε=12:1





irreducible Brillouin zone





gap for
$n > \sim 1.75{:}1$

2d periodicity, ε=12:1



2d periodicity, ε=12:1





irreducible Brillouin zone





What a difference a boundary condition makes…



$\mathbf{E}_{\parallel}$ is continuous:
energy density $\varepsilon|\mathbf{E}|^2$
more in larger ε

$\varepsilon\mathbf{E}_{\perp}$ is continuous:
energy density $|\varepsilon\mathbf{E}|^2/\varepsilon$
more in smaller ε

To get strong confinement & gaps,
want **E** mostly parallel to interfaces

TM: ||  TE: ⊥ 

2d photonic crystal: TE gap, ε=12:1









gap for n > ~1.4:1

3d photonic crystal: complete gap , ε=12:1





I: rod layer



II: hole layer

gap for n > ~2:1

[S. G. Johnson *et al*., *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **77**, 3490 (2000)]

You, too, can compute photonic eigenmodes!

MIT Photonic-Bands (MPB) package:

`http://ab-initio.mit.edu/mpb`

The Mother of (almost) All Bandgaps

The diamond lattice:

fcc (face-centered-cubic)
with two “atoms” per unit cell

(primitive)



Recipe for a complete gap:

fcc = most-spherical Brillouin zone

+ diamond “bonds” = lowest (two) bands can concentrate in lines

The First 3d Bandgap Structure

K. M. Ho, C. T. Chan, and C. M. Soukoulis, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **65**, 3152 (1990).





for gap at λ = 1.55μm,
sphere diameter ~ 330nm

overlapping Si spheres

MPB tutorial, `http://ab-initio.mit.edu/mpb`

Layer-by-Layer Lithography

- Fabrication of 2d patterns in Si or GaAs is very advanced
(think: Pentium IV, 50 million transistors)

…inter-layer alignment techniques are only slightly more exotic

So, make 3d structure one layer at a time

Need a 3d crystal with constant cross-section layers



A Schematic



[M. Qi, H. Smith, MIT]

Making Rods & Holes Simultaneously

Making Rods & Holes Simultaneously

expose/etch
holes





Making Rods & Holes Simultaneously

backfill with silica (SiO_2) & polish





Making Rods & Holes Simultaneously

deposit another
Si layer



Making Rods & Holes Simultaneously

dig more holes
offset
& overlapping





Making Rods & Holes Simultaneously

backfill





Making Rods & Holes Simultaneously

etcetera

(dissolve silica when done)





Making Rods & Holes Simultaneously



Making Rods & Holes Simultaneously

etcetera



one
period

rod layers





7-layer E-Beam Fabrication





[M. Qi, *et al*., *Nature* **429**, 538 (2004)]

Supercontinuum-Source vs. Theoretical Transmission Spectra



[M. Qi, H. Smith, MIT]

an earlier design:
(& currently more popular)

The Woodpile Crystal

[K. Ho *et al.*, *Solid State Comm.* **89**, 413 (1994)]   [H. S. Sözüer *et al.*, *J. Mod. Opt.* **41**, 231 (1994)]

(4 “log” layers = 1 period)



[S. Y. Lin *et al.*, *Nature* **394**, 251 (1998)]



1.25 Periods of Woodpile @ 1.55μm

(4 “log” layers = 1 period)

[Lin & Fleming, *JLT* **17**, 1944 (1999)]









Two-Photon Lithography

$2\,h\nu = \Delta E$

2-photon probability ~ (light intensity)^2



Lithography is a Beast

[S. Kawata *et al*., *Nature* **412**, 697 (2001)]



λ = 780nm

resolution = 150nm

7μm

(3 hours to make)

Holographic Lithography

[D. N. Sharp *et al*., *Opt*. *Quant*. *Elec*. **34**, 3 (2002)]

Four beams make 3d-periodic interference pattern

k-vector differences give reciprocal lattice vectors (*i.e*. periodicity)



(1.4μm)

beam polarizations + amplitudes (8 parameters) give unit cell

One-Photon
Holographic Lithography

[D. N. Sharp *et al*., *Opt*. *Quant*. *Elec*. **34**, 3 (2002)]



huge volumes, long-range periodic, fcc lattice…backfill for high contrast

Mass-production II: Colloids



silica (SiO_2)
microspheres (diameter < 1μm)

sediment by gravity into
close-packed fcc lattice!

Mass-production II: Colloids



http://www.icmm.csic.es/cefe/

Inverse Opals

[figs courtesy D. Norris, UMN]

[H. S. Sözüer, *PRB* **45**, 13962 (1992)]

fcc solid spheres do not have a gap…

…but fcc spherical holes in Si *do* have a gap





~ 10% gap between 8th & 9th bands

small gap, upper bands: sensitive to disorder

In Order To Form a More Perfect Crystal…

[figs courtesy D. Norris, UMN]



Convective Assembly

[Nagayama, Velev, *et al.*, *Nature* (1993)
Colvin *et al.*, *Chem. Mater.* (1999)]

- Capillary forces during drying cause assembly in the meniscus
- Extremely flat, large-area opals of controllable thickness

A Better Opal

[fig courtesy
D. Norris, UMN]



Inverse-Opal Photonic Crystal

[fig courtesy
D. Norris, UMN]



[Y. A. Vlasov *et al*., *Nature* **414**, 289 (2001).]

Inverse-Opal Band Gap





good agreement
between **theory** (black)
& experiment (red/blue)

[Y. A. Vlasov *et al.*, *Nature* **414**, 289 (2001).]

Inserting Defects in Inverse Opals

e.g., Waveguides



Three-photon lithography
with
laser scanning
confocal microscope
(LSCM)





[Wonmok, *Adv. Materials* **14**, 271 (2002)]

Mass-Production III:
Block (not Bloch) Copolymers

two polymers can segregate, ordering into periodic arrays

periodicity ~ polymer block size ~ 50nm (possibly bigger)



[Y. Fink, A. M. Urbas, M. G. Bawendi, J. D. Joannopoulos, E. L. Thomas, *J. Lightwave Tech*. **17**, 1963 (1999)]

Block-Copolymer 1d Visible Bandgap
/ homopolymer



dark/light:
polystyrene/polyisoprene

n = 1.59/1.51

[A. Urbas *et al*., *Advanced Materials* **12**, 812 (2000)]

Flexible material:
bandgap can be
shifted by stretching it!

reflection for differing homopolymer %



Be GLAD: Even more crystals!

“GLAD” = “GLancing Angle Deposition”





15% gap for Si/air

diamond-like

with “broken bonds”

doubled unit cell, so gap between 4th & 5th bands

[O. Toader and S. John, *Science* **292**, 1133 (2001)]

Glancing Angle Deposition





glancing-angle Si
only builds up
on protrusions

…rotate to
spiral

[S. R. Kennedy *et al*., *Nano Letters* **2**, 59 (2002)]

An Early GLAD Crystal



[S. R. Kennedy *et al.*, *Nano Letters* **2**, 59 (2002)]

Outline

- Preliminaries: waves in periodic media
- Photonic crystals in theory and practice
- Bulk crystal properties
- Intentional defects and devices
- Index-guiding and incomplete gaps
- Photonic-crystal fibers
- Perturbations, tuning, and disorder

Properties of Bulk Crystals

by Bloch's theorem



(cartoon)

band diagram (dispersion relation)



Superprisms

from divergent dispersion (band curvature)

[Kosaka, *PRB* **58**, R10096 (1998).]



Photonic Crystals & Metamaterials



(cartoon)



at small ω

(long wavelengths $\lambda >> a$)

$\omega(k)$ ~ straight line

~ *effectively homogeneous* material

= **metamaterials**

Microwave negative refraction

[D. R. Smith, J. B. Pendry, M. C. K. Wiltshire, *Science* **305**, 788 (2004)]







Magnetic (ring) + Electric (strip) resonances

Negative Indices & Refraction

[Veselago, 1968
negative ε, μ]

opposite of ordinary lens:
only images close objects



does not require
curved lens

can exceed classical
diffraction limit

Negative-refractive all-dielectric photonic crystals

negative refraction



focussing

(2d rods in air, TE)



[M. Notomi, *PRB* **62**, 10696 (2000).]

not metamaterials: wavelength ~ a,

no homogeneous material can reproduce *all* behaviors

Superlensing with Photonic Crystals

[Luo *et al*, *PRB* **68**, 045115 (2003).]





Negative Refraction and wavevector diagrams

[Luo *et al*, *PRB* **65**, 2001104 (2002).]



w contours in (k_x, k_y) space



→ $k_{||}$ is conserved

Super-lensing

[Luo, *PRB* **68**, 045115 (2003).]

Classical diffraction limit comes from
loss of evanescent waves

… can be recovered by
resonant coupling to surface states





(needs band gap)

image





the magic of periodicity:
unusual dispersion without scattering

Outline

- Preliminaries: waves in periodic media
- Photonic crystals in theory and practice
- Bulk crystal properties
- Intentional defects and devices
- Index-guiding and incomplete gaps
- Photonic-crystal fibers
- Perturbations, tuning, and disorder

Intentional "defects" are good

microcavities



waveguides ("wires")



Resonance

an oscillating mode trapped for a long time in some volume

(of light, sound, …)

lifetime $\tau >> 2\pi/\omega_0$

frequency w_0

quality factor $Q = \omega_0\tau/2$

energy ~ $e^{-\omega_0\tau/Q}$

modal volume V



[Schliesser et al., *PRL* **97**, 243905 (2006)]



[Eichenfield et al. *Nature Photonics* **1**, 416 (2007)]

[Notomi *et al*. (2005).]



420 nm

[C.-W. Wong, *APL* **84**, 1242 (2004).]



Why Resonance?

an oscillating mode trapped for a long time in some volume

- long time = narrow bandwidth … filters (WDM, etc.)
  — $1/Q$ = fractional bandwidth

- resonant processes allow one to "impedance match" hard-to-couple inputs/outputs

- long time, small V … enhanced wave/matter interaction
  — lasers, nonlinear optics, opto-mechanical coupling, sensors, LEDs, thermal sources, …

How Resonance?

need mechanism to trap light for long time



[llnl.gov]

metallic cavities:
good for microwave,
dissipative for infrared



[Xu & Lipson (2005)]

ring/disc/sphere resonators:
a waveguide bent in circle,
bending loss ~ exp(–radius)

VCSEL
[fotonik.dtu.dk]





photonic bandgaps
(complete or partial
+ index-guiding)

[Akahane, *Nature* **425**, 944 (2003)]



(planar Si slab)

Why do defects in crystals trap resonant modes?

What do the modes look like?

Cavity Modes



Cavity Modes



Cavity Modes: Smaller Change



Cavity Modes: Smaller Change





Cavity Modes: Smaller Change



Defect bands are shifted *up* (less ε)

with *discrete k*

$$\# \cdot \frac{\lambda}{2} \sim L \qquad (k \sim 2\pi / \lambda)$$

Defect Crystal Band Diagram



Single-Mode Cavity



A *point defect* can push up a single mode from the band edge

field decay $\sim \sqrt{\dfrac{\omega - \omega_0}{\text{curvature}}}$

Bulk Crystal Band Diagram





(k not conserved)

“Single”-Mode Cavity



A *point defect*
can pull down
a “single” mode

…here, doubly-*degenerate*
(*two* states at *same* ω)

Bulk Crystal Band Diagram





(k not conserved)

Tunable Cavity Modes





monopole

dipole

Tunable Cavity Modes



Intentional "defects" are good

microcavities



waveguides ("wires")



Projected Band Diagrams





So, plot ω vs. k_x only…project Brillouin zone onto Γ–X:



gives continuum of bulk states + discrete guided band(s)

Air-waveguide Band Diagram



any state in the gap cannot couple to bulk crystal ⇒ localized

(Waveguides don't really need a *complete* gap)

Fabry-Perot waveguide:

This is exploited *e.g.* for photonic-crystal fibers…

Guiding Light in Air!

mechanism is gap only



vs. standard optical fiber:
"total internal reflection"
— requires *higher*-index core



no hollow core!

hollow = lower absorption, lower nonlinearities, higher power

Review: Why no scattering?



Benefits of a complete gap…



broken symmetry –> reflections only

effectively one-dimensional

“1d” Waveguides + Cavities = Devices



Lossless Bends





[A. Mekis *et al*.,
Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 3787 (1996)]

symmetry + single-mode + “1d” = resonances of 100% transmission

Waveguides + Cavities = Devices



Ugh, must we simulate this to get the basic behavior?

Temporal Coupled-Mode Theory

(one of several things called of "coupled-mode theory")

[H. Haus, *Waves and Fields in Optoelectronics*]



resonant cavity
frequency ω_0, lifetime τ

$|s|^2$ = power

$|a|^2$ = energy

$$\frac{da}{dt} = -i\omega_0 a - \frac{2}{\tau} a + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\tau}} s_{1+}$$

$$s_{1-} = -s_{1+} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\tau}} a, \quad s_{2-} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\tau}} a$$

assumes only:

- exponential decay (strong confinement)
- conservation of energy
- time-reversal symmetry

Temporal Coupled-Mode Theory

(one of several things called of "coupled-mode theory")

[H. Haus, *Waves and Fields in Optoelectronics*]



resonant cavity
frequency ω_0, lifetime τ

$|s|^2$ = flux

$|a|^2$ = energy

transmission T
$= |\, s_{2-} \,|^2 \, / \, |\, s_{1+} \,|^2$



$$\frac{1}{Q} = \frac{2}{\omega_0 \tau}$$

T = Lorentzian filter

$$= \frac{\frac{4}{\tau^2}}{(\omega - \omega_0)^2 + \frac{4}{\tau^2}}$$

…quality factor Q

Resonant Filter Example



Lorentzian peak, as predicted.

An apparent miracle:

~ 100% transmission
at the resonant frequency

cavity decays to input/output with *equal rates*
⇒ At resonance, reflected wave
destructively interferes
with backwards-decay from cavity
& the two *exactly cancel*.



Wide-angle Splitters





[S. Fan *et al*., *J. Opt. Soc. Am. B* **18**, 162 (2001)]

Waveguide Crossings





[S. G. Johnson *et al.*, *Opt. Lett.* **23**, 1855 (1998)]

Waveguide Crossings







Channel-Drop Filters



Perfect channel-dropping if:

Two resonant modes with:

- even and odd symmetry
- equal frequency (degenerate)
- equal decay rates



[S. Fan *et al*., *Phys*. *Rev*. *Lett*. **80**, 960 (1998)]

Enough passive, linear devices…

Photonic crystal cavities:

tight confinement (~ l/2 diameter)

+ long lifetime (high *Q* independent of size)

= enhanced nonlinear effects

e.g. Kerr nonlinearity, Δn ~ intensity

A ~~Linear~~ *Nonlinear* Filter

in →  → out

Linear response:
Lorenzian Transmisson



+ nonlinear
index shift

shifted peak



A ~~Linear~~ *Nonlinear* "Transistor"

[Soljacic *et al*., *PRE Rapid*. *Comm*. **66**, 055601 (2002).]



Logic gates, switching, rectifiers, amplifiers, isolators, …

+ feedback

shifted peak



Bistable (hysteresis) response

Power threshold ~ V/Q^2 is near optimal
(~mW for Si and telecom bandwidth)

TCMT for Bistability

[Soljacic *et al*., *PRE Rapid*. *Comm*. **66**, 055601 (2002).]



resonant cavity
frequency ω_0, lifetime τ,
SPM coefficient $\alpha \sim \chi^{(3)}$
(computed from perturbation theory)

$|s|^2$ = power

$|a|^2$ = energy

$$\frac{da}{dt} = -i(\omega_0 - \alpha|a|^2)a - \frac{2}{\tau}a + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\tau}}s_{1+}$$

$$s_{1-} = -s_{1+} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\tau}}a, \quad s_{2-} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\tau}}a$$

gives cubic equation
for transmission
… bistable curve

Experimental Nonlinear Switches

[Notomi *et al*. (2005).]



Q ~ 30,000
V ~ 10 optimum
Power threshold ~ 40 μW

[Xu & Lipson, 2005]



Q ~ 10,000
V ~ 300 optimum
Power threshold ~ 10 mW

Experimental Bistable Switch

[Notomi *et al*., *Opt*. *Express* **13** (7), 2678 (2005).]





Silicon-on-insulator



Q ~ 30,000

Power threshold ~ 40 μW

Switching energy ~ 4 pJ

Same principles apply in 3d…



2d-like defects in 3d

[M. L. Povinelli *et al*., *Phys*. *Rev*. *B* **64**, 075313 (2001)]



3d projected band diagram





2d-like waveguide mode



2d-like cavity mode



The Upshot

To design an interesting device, you need only:

symmetry + single-mode (usually)

+ resonance

+ (ideally) a band gap to forbid losses

Oh, and a full Maxwell simulator to get Q parameters, *etcetera*.

Outline

- Preliminaries: waves in periodic media
- Photonic crystals in theory and practice
- Bulk crystal properties
- Intentional defects and devices
- Index-guiding and incomplete gaps
- Photonic-crystal fibers
- Perturbations, tuning, and disorder

Review: Bloch Basics



Waves in periodic media can have:

- propagation with no scattering (conserved **k**)
- photonic band gaps (with proper ε function)

band diagram

ω



k

Eigenproblem gives simple insight:

Bloch form: $$\vec{H} = e^{i(\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}-\omega t)}\vec{H}_{\vec{k}}(\vec{x})$$

$$\left[(\vec{\nabla} + i\vec{k})\times\frac{1}{\varepsilon}(\vec{\nabla}+i\vec{k})\times\right]\vec{H}_{\vec{k}} = \left(\frac{\omega_n(\vec{k})}{c}\right)^2\vec{H}_{\vec{k}}$$

$\hat{\Theta}_{\vec{k}}$ Hermitian –> complete, orthogonal, variational theorem, *etc*.

Review: Defects and Devices

Point defects = Cavities



Line defects = Waveguides





Waveguides
+
Resonant
Cavities





Review: 3d Crystals and Fabrication







Much progress
in making complex structures
…
incorporation of defects & devices
still in early stages

How *else* can we confine light?

Total Internal Reflection



rays at shallow angles $> \theta_c$
are totally reflected

Snell's Law:

$n_i \sin\theta_i = n_o \sin\theta_o$



$\sin\theta_c = n_o / n_i$

< 1, so θ_c is real

i.e. TIR can only guide
within higher index
unlike a band gap

Total Internal Reflection?



rays at shallow angles $> \theta_c$
are totally reflected

So, for example,
a discontiguous structure can't possibly guide by TIR…



the rays can't stay inside!

Total Internal Reflection?



rays at shallow angles $> \theta_c$
are totally reflected



So, for example,
a discontiguous structure can't possibly guide by TIR…

or can it?

Total Internal Reflection Redux



ray-optics picture is invalid on λ scale
(neglects coherence, near field…)



Snell's Law is really
conservation of $k_{||}$ and w:

$$|k_i| \sin\theta_i = |k_o| \sin\theta_o$$

$$|k| = n\omega/c$$

(wavevector) (frequency)

Waveguide Dispersion Relations

i.e. projected band diagrams



Strange ~~Total Internal Reflection~~ *Index Guiding*



Conserved k and ω
+ higher index to pull down state
= localized/guided mode.

A Hybrid Photonic Crystal:

1d band gap + index guiding



A Resonant Cavity



A Resonant Cavity



k not conserved
so coupling to
light cone:
radiation



The trick is to
keep the
radiation small…
(more on this later)

Meanwhile, back in reality…

Air-bridge Resonator: 1d gap + 2d index guiding





[D. J. Ripin *et al*., *J. Appl. Phys*. **87**, 1578 (2000)]



bigger cavity
= longer λ

Time for Two Dimensions…

2d is all we really need for many interesting devices
…darn z direction!



How do we make a 2d bandgap?



Most obvious solution?

make
2d pattern
really tall

How do we make a 2d bandgap?



If height is finite,
we must couple to
out-of-plane wavevectors…

k_z not conserved

A 2d band diagram in 3d?

Recall the 2d band diagram:

… what happens in 3d?



& what about polarization?





A 2d band diagram in 3d

In 3d, continuum of k_z fills upwards from 1st band:



& pure polarizations disappear





A 2d band diagram in 3d



Photonic-Crystal Slabs





2d photonic bandgap + vertical index guiding

[J. D. Joannopoulos, S. G. Johnson, J. N. Winn, and R. D. Meade,
Photonic Crystals: Molding the Flow of Light, 2nd edition, chapter 8]

Rod-Slab Projected Band Diagram



Light cone = all solutions in medium above/below slab

Guided modes below light cone = no radiation

Two "polarizations:" TM-like & TE-like

"Gap" in guided modes … *not* a complete gap

Slab thickness is crucial to obtain gap…

Slab symmetry & "polarization"

2d: TM and TE modes



slab: odd (TM-like) and even (TE-like) modes

Like in 2d, there may only be a band gap in one symmetry/polarization

Slab Gaps

Rod slab

Hole slab



TM-like gap

TE-like gap

Substrates, for the Gravity-Impaired

(rods or holes)

superstrate restores symmetry

substrate breaks symmetry:
some even/odd mixing "kills" gap

BUT

with strong confinement
(high index contrast)

mixing can be weak

"extruded" substrate
= stronger confinement

(less mixing even
without superstrate

Extruded Rod Substrate



S. Assefa, L. A. Kolodziejski

(GaAs on AlO_x)

[S. Assefa *et al*., *APL* **85**, 6110 (2004).]

Air-membrane Slabs

who needs a substrate?



[N. Carlsson *et al*., *Opt*. *Quantum Elec*. **34**, 123 (2002)]

Optimal Slab Thickness

~ λ/2, but λ/2 in what material?

effective medium theory: effective ε depends on polarization



Photonic-Crystal Building Blocks

point defects
(cavities)



line defects
(waveguides)





A Reduced-Index Waveguide



We *cannot* completely remove the rods—no vertical confinement!

Still have conserved wavevector—under the light cone, no radiation

Reduce the radius of a row of rods to "trap" a waveguide mode in the gap.

Reduced-Index Waveguide Modes





Experimental Waveguide & Bend

[E. Chow *et al*., *Opt. Lett.* **26**, 286 (2001)]



Inevitable Radiation Losses

whenever translational symmetry is broken

e.g. at cavities, waveguide bends, disorder…





k is *no longer* conserved!

Dimensionless Losses: *Q*

quality factor Q = # optical periods for energy to decay by exp(–2π)

$$\text{energy} \sim \exp(-\omega t/Q)$$

in frequency domain: $1/Q$ = bandwidth

from last time:
(coupling-of-modes-in-time)



$$\frac{1}{Q} = \frac{2}{\omega_0 \tau}$$

T = Lorentzian filter

$$= \frac{\frac{4}{\tau^2}}{(\omega - \omega_0)^2 + \frac{4}{\tau^2}}$$

…quality factor Q

All Is Not Lost

A simple model device (filters, bends, …):



$$\frac{1}{Q} = \frac{1}{Q_r} + \frac{1}{Q_w}$$

Q = lifetime/period
= frequency/bandwidth

We want: $Q_r >> Q_w$

$1 - \text{transmission} \sim 2Q / Q_r$

worst case**:** high-Q (narrow-band) cavities

Radiation loss: A Fourier picture





spatial:



infinitely extended in space



localized in space

Fourier:



delta function(s) [Fourier series]

below light cone = no radiation



delocalized in Fourier

tails in light cone = radiation

A tradeoff: Localization vs. Loss

"Uncertainty principle:"
less spatial localization = *more Fourier* localization
= less radiation loss



stronger spatial localization



weaker spatial localization

Monopole Cavity in a Slab



Lower the ε of a single rod: push up a monopole (singlet) state.



Use small Δε: delocalized in-plane, & high-Q (we hope)

Delocalized Monopole Q



[S. G. Johnson *et al*., *Computing in Sci. and Eng*. **3**, 38 (2001).]

Super-defects



Weaker defect with more unit cells.

More delocalized
at the same point in the gap
(*i.e.* at same bulk decay rate)

Super-Defect vs. Single-Defect Q



[S. G. Johnson *et al.*, *Computing in Sci. and Eng.* **3**, 38 (2001).]

Super-Defect State

(cross-section)



(super defect)

$\Delta\varepsilon = -3$, $Q_{rad} = 13{,}000$



still ~localized: *In-plane* $Q_{||}$ is > 50,000 for only 4 bulk periods

How do we compute Q?

(via 3d FDTD [finite-difference time-domain] simulation)

1



excite cavity with dipole source

(broad bandwidth, *e.g.* Gaussian pulse)

… monitor field at some point ∘

…extract frequencies, decay rates via fancy signal processing (not just FFT/fit)

[V. A. Mandelshtam, *J. Chem. Phys.* **107**, 6756 (1997)]

Pro: no *a priori* knowledge, get all ω's and Q's at once

Con: no separate Q_w/Q_r,
mixed-up field pattern if multiple resonances

How do we compute Q?

(via 3d FDTD [finite-difference time-domain] simulation)

2



excite cavity with
narrow-band dipole source
(*e.g.* temporally broad Gaussian pulse)

— source is at ω_0 resonance,
which must already be known (via 1)

…measure outgoing power P and energy U

$$Q = \omega_0 \, U / P$$

Pro: separate Q_w/Q_r, also get field pattern when multimode

Con: requires separate run 1 to get ω_0,
long-time source for closely-spaced resonances

Can we increase Q

without delocalizing (much)?

Cancellations?



Maybe we can make the Fourier transform oscillate through zero at some important k in the light cone?

But what k's are "important?"

Equivalently, some kind of destructive interference in the radiated field?

Need a more compact representation

Cannot cancel infinitely many $\mathbf{E}(x)$ integrals

Radiation pattern from localized source…

— use multipole expansion
& cancel largest moment

Multipole Expansion

[Jackson, *Classical Electrodynamics*]

radiated field =



Each term's strength = single integral over near field

…one term is cancellable by tuning one defect parameter

Multipole Expansion

[Jackson, *Classical Electrodynamics*]

radiated field =



peak Q (cancellation) = transition to higher-order radiation

Multipoles in a 2d example



as we change the radius, ω sweeps across the gap

2d multipole cancellation





An Experimental (Laser) Cavity

[M. Loncar *et al*., *Appl*. *Phys*. *Lett*. **81**, 2680 (2002)]



Elongation p is a tuning parameter for the cavity…

…in simulations, Q peaks sharply to ~10000 for $p = 0.1a$

(likely to be a multipole-cancellation effect)

* actually, there are two cavity modes; p breaks degeneracy

An Experimental (Laser) Cavity

[M. Loncar *et al*., *Appl*. *Phys*. *Lett*. **81**, 2680 (2002)]



Elongation *p* is a tuning parameter for the cavity…

…in simulations, Q peaks sharply to ~10000 for $p = 0.1a$

(likely to be a multipole-cancellation effect)

* actually, there are two cavity modes; *p* breaks degeneracy

An Experimental (Laser) Cavity

[M. Loncar *et al*., *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **81**, 2680 (2002)]





Q ~ 2000 observed from luminescence

quantum-well lasing threshold of 214μW

(optically pumped @830nm, 1% duty cycle)

Multipole Cancellation in Stretched Cavity

[calculations courtesy A. Rodriguez, 2006]







Slab Cavities in Practice: Q vs. V

[Loncar, *APL* **81**, 2680 (2002)]



$Q \sim 10{,}000$ ($V \sim 4 \times$ optimum)

$= (\lambda/2n)^3$

[Ryu, *Opt. Lett.* **28**, 2390 (2003)]



(theory only)

$Q \sim 10^6$ ($V \sim 11 \times$ optimum)

[Akahane, *Nature* **425**, 944 (2003)]



$Q \sim 45{,}000$ ($V \sim 6 \times$ optimum)



[Song, *Nature Mat.* **4**, 207 (2005)]

$Q \sim 600{,}000$ ($V \sim 10 \times$ optimum)

Outline

- Preliminaries: waves in periodic media
- Photonic crystals in theory and practice
- Bulk crystal properties
- Intentional defects and devices
- Index-guiding and incomplete gaps
- Photonic-crystal fibers
- Perturbations, tuning, and disorder

Optical Fibers Today

(not to scale)



losses ~ 0.2 dB/km
at l=1.55μm
(amplifiers every
50–100km)

but this is
~ as good as
it gets…

[R. Ramaswami & K. N. Sivarajan, *Optical Networks: A Practical Perspective*]

The Glass Ceiling: *Limits of Silica*

Loss: amplifiers every 50–100km

…limited by Rayleigh scattering (molecular entropy)

…cannot use "exotic" wavelengths like 10.6μm

Nonlinearities: after ~100km, cause dispersion, crosstalk, power limits

(limited by mode area ~ single-mode, bending loss)

also cannot be made (very) **large** for compact nonlinear devices

Radical modifications to dispersion, polarization effects?

…tunability is limited by low index contrast

Long Distances

High Bit-Rates

Dense Wavelength Multiplexing (DWDM)

Compact Devices

Breaking the Glass Ceiling:

Hollow-core Bandgap Fibers



Breaking the Glass Ceiling:
Hollow-core Bandgap Fibers

[figs courtesy
Y. Fink *et al.*, MIT]



white/grey
= chalco/polymer

Bragg fiber
[Yeh *et al.*, 1978]



+ omnidirectional
= OmniGuide
fibers

silica

[R. F. Cregan
et al.,
Science **285**,
1537 (1999)]





PCF
[Knight *et al.*, 1998]

Breaking the Glass Ceiling II:

Solid-core Holey Fibers



Can have much higher contrast than doped silica…

strong confinement = enhanced nonlinearities, birefringence, …

[J. C. Knight *et al*., *Opt*. *Lett*. **21**, 1547 (1996)]

Sequence of Analysis

1. Plot all solutions of infinite cladding as w vs. b



empty spaces (gaps): guiding possibilities

2. Core introduces new states in empty spaces — plot w(b) dispersion relation

3. Compute other stuff…

PCF: Holey Silica Cladding



PCF: Holey Silica Cladding





PCF: Holey Silica Cladding



$r = 0.22973a$



PCF: Holey Silica Cladding



$r = 0.30912a$



PCF: Holey Silica Cladding



$r = 0.34197a$



PCF: Holey Silica Cladding



$r = 0.37193a$



PCF: Holey Silica Cladding



$r = 0.4a$



PCF: Holey Silica Cladding



$r = 0.42557a$



PCF: Holey Silica Cladding





PCF: Holey Silica Cladding





[figs: West *et al*, *Opt. Express* **12** (8), 1485 (2004)]

Experimental Air-guiding PCF
Fabrication (e.g.)





Experimental Air-guiding PCF



[R. F. Cregan *et al*., *Science* **285**, 1537 (1999)]



Experimental Air-guiding PCF



[R. F. Cregan *et al*., *Science* **285**, 1537 (1999)]



transmitted intensity
after ~ 3cm



ω (c/a) (**not** $2\pi c/a$)

A more recent (lower-loss) example

[Mangan, *et al*., OFC 2004 PDP24]



hollow (air) core (covers 19 holes)

guided field profile:
(flux density)



1.7dB/km

BlazePhotonics

over ~ 800m @1.57μm

Improving air-guiding losses



larger core =
less field penetrates
cladding

ergo,
roughness etc.
produce lower loss



13dB/km

Corning

over ~ 100m @1.5μm

[Smith, *et al*., *Nature* **424**, 657 (2003)]

1.7dB/km

BlazePhotonics

over ~ 800m @1.57μm

[Mangan, *et al*., *OFC 2004* PDP24]

State-of-the-art air-guiding losses

larger core = more surface states crossing guided mode

… but surface states can be removed by proper crystal termination

[West, *Opt. Express* **12** (8), 1485 (2004)]





13dB/km

Corning

over ~ 100m @1.5μm

[Smith, *et al.*, *Nature* **424**, 657 (2003)]

1.7dB/km

BlazePhotonics

over ~ 800m @1.57μm

[Mangan, *et al.*, *OFC 2004* PDP24]

Surface States vs. Termination



changing the crystal termination
can eliminate surface states



[West, *Opt. Express* **12** (8), 1485 (2004)]

[Saitoh, *Opt. Express* **12** (3), 394 (2004)]

[Kim, *Opt. Express* **12** (15), 3436 (2004)]



Bragg Fiber Cladding

at large radius,
becomes ~ planar

radial k_r
(Bloch wavevector)

β ⊙

k_ϕ 0 by conservation of angular momentum

Bragg fiber gaps (1d eigenproblem)



wavenumber β

$\beta = 0$: normal incidence



Omnidirectional Cladding

Bragg fiber gaps (1d eigenproblem)

omnidirectional
(planar) reflection



wavenumber β

β = 0: normal incidence

e.g. light from
fluorescent sources
is trapped



$\beta_{\odot}$

for n_{hi} / n_{lo}
big enough
and $n_{lo} > 1$

[J. N. Winn *et al*,
Opt. Lett. **23**, 1573 (1998)]

Hollow Metal Waveguides, Reborn



modes are directly analogous to those in hollow metal waveguide

An Old Friend: the TE_{01} mode

lowest-loss mode,
just as in metal

(near) node at interface
= strong confinement
= low losses



non-degenerate mode
— cannot be split
= no birefringence or PMD

Yes, but how do you make it?

[figs courtesy Y. Fink *et al*., MIT]

1

find compatible materials
(many new possibilities)



chalcogenide glass, n ~ 2.8
+ polymer (or oxide), n ~ 1.5

2

Make pre-form
("scale model")



3



fiber drawing

A Drawn Bandgap Fiber

[figs courtesy Y. Fink *et al*., MIT]

- **Photonic crystal structural uniformity, adhesion, physical durability through large temperature excursions**



white/grey
= chalco/polymer

High-Power Transmission

at 10.6μm (no previous dielectric waveguide)

Polymer losses @10.6μm ~ 50,000dB/m…

…waveguide losses < 1dB/m



[B. Temelkuran *et al*., *Nature* **420**, 650 (2002)]

[figs courtesy Y. Fink *et al*., MIT]

Application: Laser Surgery

[www.omni-guide.com]



An early endoscopic surgery



“ Examination today reveals dramatic improvement in both the tracheal and laryngeal papillomas. ... ______ has fewer papillomas than at any time in the past decade! “

Dr. J. Koufman, Dec 17, 2004
Director CVSD

Index-Guiding PCF & microstructured fiber:

Holey Fibers



Can have much higher contrast than doped silica…

strong confinement = enhanced nonlinearities, birefringence, …

[J. C. Knight *et al*., *Opt*. *Lett*. **21**, 1547 (1996)]



Guided Mode in a Solid Core

small computation: only lowest-w band!

(~ one minute, planewave)



λ-dependent "index contrast"







Endlessly Single-Mode

[T. A. Birks *et al*., *Opt. Lett*. **22**, 961 (1997)]





at higher w
(smaller l),
the light is more
concentrated in silica

…so the effective
index contrast is less

…and the fiber can stay
single mode for all l!



Holey Fiber PMF

(Polarization-Maintaining Fiber)







birefringence B = Dbc/w

= 0.0014

(10 times B of silica PMF)

Loss = 1.3 dB/km @ 1.55μm

over 1.5km



no longer degenerate with

Can operate in a single polarization, PMD = 0

(also, known polarization at output)

[K. Suzuki, *Opt. Express* **9**, 676 (2001)]

Truly Single-Mode Cutoff-Free Fiber



Nonlinear Holey Fibers:



Supercontinuum Generation

(enhanced by strong confinement + unusual dispersion)



e.g. 400–1600nm "white" light:
from 850nm ~200 fs pulses (4 nJ)



[figs: W. J. Wadsworth *et al.*, *J. Opt. Soc. Am. B* **19**, 2148 (2002)]

[earlier work: J. K. Ranka *et al.*, *Opt. Lett.* **25**, 25 (2000)]

Low Contrast Holey Fibers



[J. C. Knight *et al*., *Elec. Lett*. **34**, 1347 (1998)]



The holes can also form an
effective low-contrast medium

i.e. light is only affected slightly
by small, widely-spaced holes

This yields
large-area, single-mode
fibers (low nonlinearities)

…but bending loss is worse

Outline

- Preliminaries: waves in periodic media
- Photonic crystals in theory and practice
- Bulk crystal properties
- Intentional defects and devices
- Index-guiding and incomplete gaps
- Photonic-crystal fibers
- Perturbations, tuning, and disorder

All Imperfections are Small

(or the device wouldn’t work)

- Material absorption: small imaginary De
- Nonlinearity: small De ~ $|\mathbf{E}|^2$ (Kerr)
- Stress (MEMS): small De or small e boundary shift
- Tuning by thermal, electro-optic, etc.: small De
- Roughness: small De or boundary shift

Weak effects, long distance/time: hard to compute directly

— use semi-analytical methods

Semi-analytical methods for small perturbations

- Brute force methods (FDTD, *etc*.):
  expensive and give limited insight

- Semi-analytical methods
  — numerical solutions for perfect system
  + analytically bootstrap to imperfections

… coupling-of-modes, perturbation theory,
Green's functions, coupled-wave theory, …

Perturbation Theory

for Hermitian eigenproblems

given eigenvectors/values: $\hat{O}|u\rangle = u|u\rangle$

…find change Δu & $\Delta|u\rangle$ for small $\Delta\hat{O}$

Solution:

expand as power series in $\Delta\hat{O}$

$$\Delta u = 0 + \Delta u^{(1)} + \Delta u^{(2)} + \ldots$$

& $\Delta|u\rangle = 0 + \Delta|u\rangle^{(1)} + \ldots$

$$\Delta u^{(1)} = \frac{\langle u|\Delta\hat{O}|u\rangle}{\langle u|u\rangle}$$

(first order is usually enough)

Perturbation Theory

for electromagnetism

$$\Delta\omega^{(1)} = \frac{c^2}{2\omega}\frac{\langle \mathbf{H}|\Delta\hat{A}|\mathbf{H}\rangle}{\langle \mathbf{H}|\mathbf{H}\rangle}$$

$$= -\frac{\omega}{2}\frac{\int \Delta\varepsilon|\mathbf{E}|^2}{\int \varepsilon|\mathbf{E}|^2}$$

…e.g. absorption
gives imaginary Dw
= decay!

or: $\Delta k^{(1)} = \Delta\omega^{(1)} / v_g$

$$v_g = \frac{d\omega}{dk}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\Delta\omega^{(1)}}{\omega} = -\frac{\Delta n}{n}\cdot(\text{fraction of } \varepsilon|\mathbf{E}|^2 \text{ in } \Delta n)$$

A Quantitative Example



…but what about the cladding?

…*some* field penetrates!

& may need to use very "bad" material to get high index contrast

Review: the TE_{01} mode

lowest-loss mode,
just as in metal

(near) node at interface
= strong confinement
= low losses



[Johnson, *Opt. Express* **9**, 748 (2001)]

Suppressing Cladding Losses



Mode Losses
÷
Bulk Cladding Losses



Large differential loss

TE_{01} strongly suppresses cladding absorption

(like ohmic loss, for metal)

[Johnson, *Opt. Express* **9**, 748 (2001)]

Quantifying Nonlinearity

Db ~ power P ~ 1 / lengthscale for nonlinear effects

$$g = Db / P$$

= nonlinear-strength parameter determining
self-phase modulation (SPM), four-wave mixing (FWM), …

(unlike "effective area,"
tells *where* the field is,
not just how big)

[Johnson, *Opt. Express* **9**, 748 (2001)] [R. Ramaswami & K. N. Sivarajan, *Optical Networks: A Practical Perspective*]

Suppressing Cladding Nonlinearity

[Johnson, *Opt. Express* **9**, 748 (2001)]

Mode Nonlinearity*
÷
Cladding Nonlinearity

Will be dominated by nonlinearity of air

~10,000 times weaker than in silica fiber
(including factor of 10 in area)



* "nonlinearity" = $Db^{(1)} / P = g$

A ~~Linear~~ *Nonlinear* "Transistor"



Bistable (hysteresis) response

Entire nonlinear response from *one* linear calculation:

Lorentzian mode w, *Q*

\+

Kerr Dw ~ $|\mathbf{E}|^2$

(to first order)



[Soljacic *et al*., *PRE Rapid*. *Comm*. **66**, 055601 (2002).]

Tuning Microcavities

- Correcting for fabrication error:

  — narrow-band filters require 10^{-3} or better accuracy

  fabricate "close enough" and tune post-fabrication

  … want: large tunability, slow speeds

- Switching/routing:

  — require small tunability (e.g. by bandwidth: 10^{-3})

  — need high speeds (ideally, ns or better)

Many mechanisms to change cavity index or shape:

liquid crystal, thermal,

nonlinearities, carrier density, MEMS…

"easy" theory for Δn tuning: $\frac{\Delta\omega^{(1)}}{\omega} = -\frac{\Delta n}{n} \cdot$ (fraction of $\varepsilon|\mathbf{E}|^2$ in Δn)

Liquid-crystal Tuning

One of the earliest proposals: [Busch & John, *PRL* **83**, 967 (1999).]



Asymmetric particles oriented by external field:
— n on (two) "ordinary" axes can differ
from "extraordinary-axis" n by $\Delta n \sim 15\%$

Response time: 20–200μs [Shimoda, *APL* **79**, 3627 (2001).]

Difficulty: filling entire photonic crystal *[all existing work]*
with liquid ($n \sim 1.5$) usually destroys the gap

Possible solutions:

- use thin LC coating [Busch, 1999], but small Δfrequency
- use micro-fluidic droplet only in cavity?

Thermal tuning

using thermal expansion, phase transitions,
or most successfully, thermo-optic coefficient (dn/dT)

[Chong, *PTL* **16**, 1528 (2004).]





5 nm tuning (0.3%) in Si
time (estimated) < 1 ms

[Asano, *Elec. Lett.* **41** (1) (2005).]





5 nm tuning
(0.3%)
time ~ 20μs

Tuning by Free-carrier Injection

[Leonard, *PRB* **66**, 161102 (2002).]



macroporous Si

optical carrier injection
by 300fs pulses
at 800nm pump wavelength

Measured Δreflectivity from
band-edge shift at 1.9μm



31 nm wavelength shift (2%)
rise time ~ 500 fs
***but** affects absorption too*

Tuning by Optical Nonlinearities

Pockels effect ($\Delta n \sim \mathbf{E}$)

[Takeda, *PRE* **69**, 016605 (2004).]

Theory only

Kerr effect ($\Delta n \sim |\mathbf{E}|^2$)

[Hu, *APL* **83**, 2518 (2003).]



fcc lattice of polystyrene spheres
(*incomplete gap*)
13nm shift @ 540nm (2.4%)
response time ~ 10 ps

Tuning by MEMS deformation

[C.-W. Wong, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **84**, 1242 (2004).]



stretch piezo-electrically
(MEMS)



1.5 nm shift @ 1.5μm (0.1%)
response-time not measured, expected in "microseconds" range

Theory tricky: *not* a Δn shift

Boundary-perturbation theory



… just plug $\Delta\varepsilon$'s into perturbation formulas?

FAILS for high index contrast!

beware field discontinuity…
fortunately, a simple correction exists

[S. G. Johnson *et al.*, *PRE* **65**, 066611 (2002)]

Boundary-perturbation theory



(continuous field components)

$$\Delta\omega^{(1)} = -\frac{\omega}{2}\,\frac{\int_{\text{surf.}} \Delta h \left[\Delta\varepsilon \left|\mathbf{E}_{\parallel}\right|^2 - \Delta\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left|D_{\perp}\right|^2 \right]}{\int \varepsilon \left|\mathbf{E}\right|^2}$$

[S. G. Johnson *et al.*, *PRE* **65**, 066611 (2002)]

Surface roughness disorder?

[http://www.physik.uni-wuerzburg.de/TEP/Website/groups/opto/etching.htm]

loss limited by disorder
(in addition to bending)

disordered
photonic crystal



[A. Rodriguez, MIT]

[S. Fan *et. al.*, *J. Appl. Phys.* **78**, 1415 (1995).]

small (bounded) disorder does not destroy the bandgap

[A. Rodriguez *et. al.*, *Opt. Lett.* **30**, 3192 (2005).]

Q limited only by crystal size (for a 3d complete gap) …

… but waveguides have more trouble …

Effect of Gap on Disorder (e.g. Roughness) Loss?

[with M. Povinelli]

index-guided waveguide



photonic-crystal waveguide: which picture is correct?



radiation blocked
increased reflection

OR



radiation blocked
no increase in reflection

Coupled-mode theory

Expand state in ideal eigenmodes, for constant w:

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_n c_n(z)\,|n\rangle\, e^{i\beta_n z}$$

state (field) of disordered waveguide

expansion coefficient

eigenstate of perfect waveguide

wavenumber



What's New in Coupled-Mode Theory?

- Traditional methods (Marcuse, 1970): weak periodicity only
- Strong perodicity (Bloch modes expansion):
  - — de Sterke *et al*. (1996): coupling in *time* (nonlinearities)
  - — Russell (1986): weak perturbations, slowly varying only

scalar

NEW: exact extension, for *z*-dependent (constant ω), and:

arbitrary periodicity,
arbitrary index contrast (full vector),
arbitrary disorder [and/or tapers]

full-vector

[S. G. Johnson *et al*., *PRE* **66**, 066608 (2002).]
[M. L. Povinelli *et al*., *APL* **84**, 3639 (2004).]

[M. Skorobogatiy *et al*., *Opt*. *Express* **10**, 1227 (2002).]

Coupled-wave Theory

(skipping all the math…)

$$\frac{dc_n}{dz} = \sum_{m \neq n} [\text{coupling}]_{m,n} \, e^{i\Delta\beta z} \, c_m$$

mode expansion coefficients

Depends only on: [M. L. Povinelli *et al*., *APL* **84**, 3639 (2004).]

- strength of disorder
- mode field at disorder
- group velocities

Weak disorder, short correlations: refl. ~ |coupling|^2
if disorder and modes are "same,"
then reflection is the same

A Test Case

[M. L. Povinelli *et al.*, *APL* **84**, 3639 (2004).]



index-guided

gap-guided, same w(b)

Apples to Apples

A Test Case

pixels added/removed with probability *p*



same disorder in both cases, averaged over many FDTD runs

Test Case Results: Reflection



Test Case Results: Total Loss



photonic bandgap
(all other things equal)
= unambiguous improvement

But, the news isn't all good…

Group-velocity (v) dependence
other things being equal

[S. G. Johnson *et al*., *Proc. 2003 Europ. Symp. Phot. Cryst.* **1**, 103.]
[S. Hughes *et al*., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**, 033903 (2005).]

absorption/radiation-scattering loss
(per distance) ~ 1/v

reflection loss
(per distance) ~ $1/v^2$

(per time) ~ 1/v

Losses a challenge for slow light…

An Easier Way to Compute Loss



imperfection acts like a volume current

$$\vec{J} \sim \Delta\varepsilon\,\vec{E}_0$$

volume-current method

(i.e., first Born approx. to Green's function)

An Easier Way to Compute Loss



uncorrelated disorder adds *incoherently*

So, compute power P radiated by *one* localized source *J*,
and loss rate ~ P * (mean disorder strength)

Losses from Point Scatterers





Loss rate ratio = (Refl. only) / (Refl. + Radiation) = 60% ✓

Effect of an *Incomplete* Gap

on uncorrelated surface roughness



Conventional waveguide
(matching modal area)



…with Si/SiO_2 Bragg mirrors (1D gap)
50% lower losses (in dB)
same reflection

Failure of the Volume-current Method



imperfection acts like a volume current

$$\vec{J} \sim \Delta\varepsilon \vec{E}_0$$

Incorrect for large De (except in 2d TM polarization)



De "bump" *changes* **E**

(*E*[illegible] is *discontinuous*)

Scattering Theory (for small scatterers)

[e.g. Jackson, *Classical Electrodynamics*]



=

(quasi-static)



dipole **p** = a $\mathbf{E}_0$

(scattered wave)

incident wave $\mathbf{E}_0$ (l >> *d*)

sphere: *effective* point current **J** ~ **p** / DV

= 3 De $\mathbf{E}_0$ / (De + 3)

= De $\mathbf{E}_0$ for small De, but very different for large De

Corrected Volume Current for Large De



dipole $\mathbf{p} = a\,\mathbf{E}_{||} + g\,\mathbf{D}_{\perp}$

unperturbed field **E**

(compute polarizability *numerically*)

effective point current $\mathbf{J} \sim \left(\frac{e_1 + e_2}{2} \mathbf{p}_{||} + e\mathbf{p}_{\perp} \right) / DV$

[S. G. Johnson *et al*., *Applied Phys*. *B* **81**, 283 (2005).]

Strip Waveguides in Photonic-Crystal Slabs (3d)

[Johnson *et al.*, *PRB* **62**, 8212 (2000)]



[Lau *et al.*, *APL* **81**, 3915 (2002)]



How does *incomplete 3d gap* affect roughness loss?

[S. G. Johnson *et al.*, *Applied Phys. B* **81**, 283 (2005).]

Rods: Surface-corrugation

[S. G. Johnson *et al*., *Applied Phys. B* **81**, 283 (2005).]







Holes: Surface-corrugation

[S. G. Johnson *et al.*, *Applied Phys. B* **81**, 283 (2005).]





Rods vs. Holes? *Answer is in 2d.*

[S. G. Johnson *et al.*, *Applied Phys. B* **81**, 283 (2005).]



The hole waveguide is not single mode

— crystal introduces new modes (in 2d)

and new leaky modes (in 3d)

Controlled Deviations: Tapers

[Johnson *et al*., *PRE* **66**, 066608 (2002)]

- We proved an adiabatic theorem for periodic systems:

slow transitions = 100% transmission

— with simple conditions = design criteria

In doing so, we got something more:

a new coupled-mode theory for periodic systems

= efficient modeling +

results for other problems

A simple problem?



A simple problem?





What happened to the adiabatic theorem?

[Johnson *et al*., *PRE* **66**, 066608 (2002)]

There *is* an adiabatic theorem!
…but with two conditions

At all intermediate taper points, the operating mode:

Must be propagating (not in the band gap).

Must be guided (not part of a continuum).

Intuitive!

Easy to violate accidentally in photonic crystals.

A Problematic Taper



Corrected Taper: Shifting the Gap



Corrected Taper: Shifting the Gap



There *is* an adiabatic theorem!
…but with two conditions

At all intermediate taper points, the operating mode:

Must be propagating (not in the band gap).

Must be guided (not part of a continuum).

Intuitive!

Easy to violate accidentally in photonic crystals.

Index-guided to Bandgap-guided





Index-guided to Bandgap-guided

cartoon:





Index-guided to Bandgap-guided

cartoon:





?

Index-guided to Bandgap-guided

cartoon:





?

Index-guided to Bandgap-guided

cartoon:





Index-guided to Bandgap-guided

cartoon:





?

Index-guided to Bandgap-guided

cartoon:





?

Index-guided to Bandgap-guided

cartoon:





?

Index-guided to Bandgap-guided

cartoon:





Index-guided to Bandgap-guided

cartoon:





Index-guided to Bandgap-guided

cartoon:





Index-guided to Bandgap-guided

cartoon:





Index-guided to Bandgap-guided

cartoon:



Index-guided to Bandgap-guided

cartoon:



A Working Transition



continuum always lies below guided band

... just far away

Bad Transmission:

Good Transmission:



The story of photonic crystals:

~~Finding New Materials / Processes~~

→ Designing New Structures

Free Materials Online



Photonic Crystals book: jdj.mit.edu/book

Tutorial slides: jdj.mit.edu/photons/tutorial

Free electromagnetic simulation software
(FDTD, mode solver, etc.)
jdj.mit.edu/wiki